Policy Paper

Examining Russias Gray Zone Tactics in the Baltic Region

By William Hoepner

September 2024



7 + (*/2%\$/ 32/,&< +25,=216 /\$%

Empowering Evidence-Based Solutions For a Better World



Webster University 470 E Lockwood Avast Louis, MO 63119, USA





maintain sufficient fluency of the Latvian language (Alijeva, 2017). While the law guaranteed that the state would make the attainment of language skills possible through state financing, in practice, these programs have not proven to be as weekeching ad accessible as intended.

national or ethnic group, but provided certainights to all permanent residents of Latvia to include an environment promoting educational, cultural, and linguistic practices of all ethnic groups, in contrast to the case of Estonia (Alijeva, 2017). However, the law did clearly afford more privileges of some minority identities than to others, namely the Baffion identity over the Russianspeaking.

Lativa continues to maintain a strong connection between language and citizenship policy.

is displayed in the overwhelming rejection of the 2012 constitutioner the reform,

language, was voted down by nearly 75 percent of voters. Furthermore, voter participation in this matter was shown to be the second highest in thistory of the republic, signaling the level of importance with which Latvians held the matter. Following the Soviet collapse and the

As of 2020, more than **19000** remained in that status (Bergmane, 2020). These persons retained the right to form political parties but are not allowed to vote in local elections nor may be elected into parliament and other civil service positions. Furthering the minority striggle the extent to which those desiring to obtain citizenship must go in order to achieve naturalization. Applicants for Latvian citizenship must complete an exam on the Latvian language, the basic knowledge of Latvian history and culture, the basic beinoin the Latvian Constitution, and the text of the Latvian national anthem.

Presidential Decree on the Main Directions of Government Policy in Relation to Compatriots, Living Abroad No.1681 signed by Boris Yeltsin, obligated the government to swiftly develop a strategy on measures to protect compatriots abroad, specifically fogussin the Commonwealth of Independent States and the Baltic countries (Alijeva, 2017). The political apparatus would go as far to claim that Russia had a moral obligation to pose as the protector of Russian communities abroad, that compatriots were alwayscome to regain their Russian citizenship and return to Russia as it is their historical homeland (Alijeva, 2017).

citizens of the Russian Federation who reside on a permanent basis outside the Russian Federat4 558on a p bat(p)-017P0I(e)8 (wsi)11 (d)5,eoD>7 <03o>7 <0003>-3 detde land on a , ata-Bo

c pata6-3p(h)-4ol (y)3-4 ()-6w(t)-4ouofid tna-Btat(o)7 h co Z5JC äTè>à y.± B"b8D m 0 00 jâu£ª∿•N a''ë /r 6…s ® a Page9

-Bi that Ru(i)10isia o hhan5ttao1-3 (5)5as ofroon a (t)-4 (8)-3Ue DèC¥ 3b a''ë /r &W0mGh4}JhÔæFC£L & YitzU£Î a



Russian



permissive conditions for Russia to engage in-gray

and other national frameworks, would be rooted in national setsuconcerns, while outwardly

This idea of a divided nation, which Putin then and now purports, speaks to the preservation of culture, history, language, and **idte** (Molodikova, 2017). More importantly, it forwards the concept of a broken Russia, needing to be put back together (Molodikova, 2017). Herein we find the true aim of these efforts, regional political goals and national interests.

Russia has been able to gain a foothold in neighboring (d)-4 0 0 9B (h)-4(h)-4j().4 (oow-4 (y (629.5 T



and well-being. It also demonstrates the distance a perpetrating nation can put between itself and the action, creating great opportunity for plausible deniability in the matter. The space in which these actions operate is uncontrolled to such a degreettheodds of a responsible nation facing tangible reprimand are quite low; odds lowered further with the likelihood of a non-state proxy utilized as the executing arm of the action.

If cyber threats are known for their shock and awe value, information campaigns are known for their methodical approach and permeating effects. Of the **grey** techniques most employed by the Russian government against the Baltic states, invading an**\$\$\$ uhatim** the information space may be the most extensively utilized and **udelk** loped. At this point, not only is Russia extremely experienced in implementing this technique, but the communication landscape of the modern world most lends itself to extorn by malicious actors. Modern technologies and communication methods, alternative sources of information and limited government control over the media made crossorder social communication pos¬sible (Kuczyristenik, 2016). Russia has identified opportities to leverage this landscape, through both traditional and modern communication platforms, ranging from news media to social media to official government. It is well proven that the ability to control streams of information to shape evolving narrative is of critical importance and can have great impact perceptions within a

have negative influence on rational decisionaking pro-cesses (Kuczyriskanik, 2016). A





economic hampering is quite often bypassed via illegal or 3rd party maneuvers and any degradation of international reputation desired tends to hold little weight to the accountable nation when considered against motivations to action, such as threatsgional security (Carment et al., 2019). Overall, exists a lack of swift and effective justice from the international community.

While entities exist with the charge of instituting agreed upon guidelines for conflict and enforcing international law, in reality, the are often toothless in response to-**goay**e aggression. This is not entirely a sign of these entities failure to **tep as** intended. What it does it to highlight the immediate need for **a**-**sh** ifting of international focus towards

of common understanding of what grazone conflict entails, but a further lack of agreement on how and when thresholds of statevel aggression are crossed. Furthermore, because these collections of tools are not unique to authoritarian rules, concepts of which are legitimate and which operate out obounds are not expressly states. For instance, within this unshared perspective of gray

the idea of forced information stemming from the rules of democratic values, retaining system

striving to follow those same democratic values (Kuczyrzstraik, 2016). It becomes abundantly clear that a need exists for coordinated and coherent strategthedmandling of operations deemed threatening to regional security and/or pose significant risk to unbalancing political and economic interdependency on a globalized level (Mazarr, 2015).

As with any international policy issues, strategies proposed for the collective identification and handling of grazone conflict are rooted in complexity. As are recommendations for effective mitigation. Ultimately, the two challenges become intertwin **bi**ghlighted among the solutions are some combination of political, economic, societal, and technical changes to be implemented across a broad spectrum. In short, collective security is the answer (Carment and Belo, 2018). Cooperation between all partieveisted in the continued, longtanding world peace should be a given, to include Russia. A noticeable growth in informational security would be possible (KuczyriskZonik, 2016). But, the collective aspect should not stop at the nation nation level. It muts one that permeates the societies of those collectively aligned. This security is to be counteracting in a way that incorporates public and private sectors alike, with inclusion of the civil sector and its cooperation in information exchange (KuczyZostik, 2016). This exchange strengthens civil societies and instills faith in government. Without a common operating picture and transparency of the information space, opportunity for growth of misinformation will always exist. Building this collective information space, opportunity for growth of misinformation will always exist. Building this collective and Belo, 2018).



WORKS CITED

-speaking Minority Rights to Citizenship and

Language in the Post Journal on Minority and Group Rights 2**4**n**K** klijke Brill Nv, 2017.

Publishing, 2019.



ABOUT THE AUTHOR

William (Bill) Hoepner works in the field of US federal law enforcement, with over 6 years of specialization in national securitycounterterrorism matters. Concurrently, he serves as a Civil Affairs Officer with the United States Army, mobilized to Easteurope. His military role entails understanding, engaging, and influencing unified action partners and indigenous populations and institutions, conducting military government operations, enablingnoiivitary operations, and providing civil consideinants expertise. He holds a Bachelor's degree in History from the University of Detroit Mercy, having minored in Criminal Justice studies. Bill is currently

pathsand associated experiences have highlighted the value of interpersonal communication and conflict resolution skills, often aimed at culturally and politically diverse audiences, allowing for a unique perspective and approach to the global topics facedytoda

